

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,757	02/14/2002		Claude Gauthier	03226.171001;P7189	9460
32615	7590	06/07/2006		EXAMINER	
OSHA LIAN 1221 MCKIN			JONES, HUGH M		
HOUSTON,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2128	
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S (1)	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/075,757	GAUTHIER ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	· ·				
	Hugh Jones	2128					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire I is checked. 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mug g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 County of the compliance with 37 County of the filed within one in the final rejection, who go date of the final rejection.	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	120(-) and the annual	4 4 5				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	TE below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324 <u>)</u> .				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: all remaining claims: 1, 3-6, 10-12, 14-	vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	nt does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the final rejection. As per allegations that the specification was "improperly mischaracterized", the Examiner used the plain meaning of the words in the specification. It is noted that even Applicants acquiesced to fact that the sections are admitted art in an earlier response (pages 4-5, response of 12/27/2005). The attempt to require the Examiner to provide an affidavid is without merit. The Examiner declines. If Applicants have evidence for their allegation, they should provide it. Applicants have made no other arguments. The rejections based on AOA are maintained.

PRIMIAN AND THE YEAR THOU